AD	1		

Award Number: DAMD17-03-1-0055

TITLE: A Randomized Trial of Musculoskeletal Pain Treatment in a Military Population

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Robert J. Gatchel, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: University of Texas

Dallas, TX 75390

REPORT DATE: February 2006

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;

Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

Form Approved REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE OMB No. 0704-0188 Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Affington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS. 1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY) 3. DATES COVERED (From - To) 2. REPORT TYPE 01-02-2006 15 Jan 2005 – 15 Jan 2006 Annual 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER A Randomized Trial of Musculoskeletal Pain Treatment in a Military Population **5b. GRANT NUMBER** DAMD17-03-1-0055 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER 6. AUTHOR(S) 5d. PROJECT NUMBER Robert J. Gatchel. Ph.D. 5e. TASK NUMBER 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER E-mail: kmensah@uta.edu 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER University of Texas Dallas, TX 75390 9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) 12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14. ABSTRACT Musculoskeletal system conditions are the leading cause of hospitalization and disability for the U.S. Armed Forces. The Department of Defense pays over \$1.5 billion per year to disabled service members, and musculoskeletal conditions account for 40-50% of this amount. This study investigates the effectiveness of an interdisciplinary functional restoration approach to the treatment of Active Duty military from all 4 branches suffering from chronic musculoskeletal pain (CMP). The primary aims of this Functional and Occupational Rehabilitation Treatment (FORT) Program include restoring physical function, retaining soldiers on active duty, and increasing the participants' abilities to effectively manage their pain. These outcomes, as well as socioeconomic variables, are evaluated immediately following treatment, and at 6, 12, and 18 months follow-up.

15. SUBJECT TERMS musculoskeletal; disability; pain; functional restoration; treatment outcomes; cost-effectiveness; interdisciplinary

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON USAMRMC	
a. REPORT U	b. ABSTRACT U	c. THIS PAGE U	UU	46	19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code)

Table of Contents

over 1
F 298
ntroduction4
ody4
ey Research Accomplishments7
eportable Outcomes7
onclusions10
eferences 11
ppendices

INTRODUCTION:

Musculoskeletal system conditions are the leading cause of hospitalization and disability for the U.S. Armed Forces. The Department of Defense pays over \$1.5 billion per year to disabled service members, and musculoskeletal conditions account for 40-50% of this amount. The medical discharge of one active duty U.S. military member in their twenties has been estimated to cost the government approximately \$250,000 in lifetime disability costs, excluding health-care costs. Despite continuous advances in military medicine, the rates of disability cases within the U.S. military have been increasing at an alarming rate, and nearly doubled between 1985 and 1994. Fortunately, numerous studies with civilian populations have demonstrated the efficacy of an interdisciplinary chronic pain rehabilitation program (ICPRP) at facilitating return-to-work in workers' compensation patients with occupational musculoskeletal disorders and work disability. Return-to-work rates with this population administered ICPRP often approach 80-85% at one year, compared to no-treatment or standard care comparison groups that demonstrate only a roughly 40% return-to-work.

Without changes in the current approach to the treatment of musculoskeletal conditions, recognized trends of increasing disability rates and tremendous associated costs will very likely continue in the future. Thus, there is a clear need for clinical research to develop evidence-based assessment and treatment approaches to decrease the enormous costs associated with chronic musculoskeletal conditions within the U.S. Armed Forces. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of an ICPRP designed to decrease chronic musculoskeletal pain, increase functioning, and retain military members on active duty. The major hypothesis is that the ICPRP will significantly increase the likelihood that active duty military personnel suffering from musculoskeletal disorders will remain on active duty and be fully qualified to perform all of their military duties, as well as positively impact other socioeconomic outcomes. All participants are active duty military members recruited from all four branches of the military and treated at Wilford Hall Medical Center at Lackland Air Force Base, Texas.

This is a pre-to post-treatment evaluation design, with evaluations conducted immediately before and after treatment, as well as at 6-, 12-, and 18-month follow-up periods in order to determine differential outcomes on variables such as return to full duty status, work retention, and additional health-care utilization. The specific aims of the study are to evaluate the efficacy of ICPRP in reducing patient-reported pain symptoms, unnecessary health-care utilization, health-care costs, and number of military members on medical profile, disability, or separated from active duty. Additional aims include improving functioning, increasing the number of military members remaining fit for duty and worldwide qualified, and increasing military members' ability to pass their physical fitness test for their respective military service. In summary, this research project addresses the clear need for clinical research to develop evidence-based assessment and treatment approaches to decrease the enormous cost associated with chronic musculoskeletal conditions within the U.S. Armed Forces.

BODY:

The following is an outline of progress pertinent to the tasks outlined in our statement of work:

Hire and train treatment team members – All grant-related personnel were hired as of December 2003 and trained by the Principal and Co-Investigators. Ongoing supervision of study personnel

is accomplished through weekly meetings with Dr. Peterson (PI), regular telephone contact with Dr. Gatchel (PI), and frequent site visits by Dr. Gatchel. Day-to-day project management is accomplished through the study coordinator, Dr. McGeary, who reports to the PIs. Protocol questions or concerns are brought up with the PIs for discussion as soon as possible.

Oversee the implementation of the interdisciplinary treatment program and guide any necessary changes to the treatment protocol – The interdisciplinary treatment program (dubbed the Functional Occupational Rehabilitation Treatment –FORT-- program) has been implemented at Wilford Hall Medical Center and has been running since January 2004. The program is overseen by Dr. McGeary and problems/required changes are addressed to the PIs. If Drs. Gatchel and Peterson deem a change necessary, it is addressed to the IRBs of record for consideration through amendments to the original protocol. To date, six amendments have been submitted, with only one submitted in 2005. The amendments submitted in the past year include:

17 FEB 2005: Request for printing an article about the program in the Air Force and Army Times

Coordinate and oversee the development and maintenance of the study database, e including quality assurance and database security in compliance with HIPAA and DoD regulations – The database for the FORT program was established in December 2003 with assistance from technical support personal at the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas and Wilford Hall Medical Center. Presently, the database exists as a password-protected and encrypted Microsoft Access database. Access is only available to Dr. McGeary and his on-site study staff at Wilford Hall Medical Center (Christin Pasker, Karen LeRoy, Mysti Clifton). It is housed on a single computer located in a locked office on the fourth floor of Wilford Hall. Data coding sheets have been developed to minimize errors in data interpretation and all study staff have been trained in data coding. Data quality is monitored bi-weekly by the study coordinator through a review of data coding sheets and the database. This is further supported through monthly interrater reliability checks in which Dr. McGeary re-codes 10% of the records input for that month and compares his entries with those of the previous coder.

Enroll 90 patients as established by the study protocol – As of 20 January 2006, we have enrolled 53 participants in the study protocol. Twenty-three of those participants were enrolled in the past year. Study enrollment is ongoing and we expect to reach our final goal of 90 participants (nine more have already been enrolled between 20 January 2006 and the first week of February 2006). Randomization checks confirm that we have managed to balance our enrolled participants between the Treatment-As-Usual (TAU) and FORT groups to ensure that they are comparable. This has been accomplished through the use of block randomization controlling for site of injury, length of disability, and gender. A summary of existing participant demographics is included below:

Variable	Level	
Group	FORT	20
	TAU	21
	Pending Randomization	11
Branch of Service	Army	17
	Air Force	34

	Navy	1
Gender	Male	33
	Female	19
Race	Asian	2
	African American	9
	Caucasian, not Hispanic	37
	Hispanic	3
	Other	1
Rank	Enlisted (E1-E9)	44
	Officer (O1-O10)	8
Site of Pain	Lumbar	38
	Thoracic	2
	Cervical	4
	Multiple Spinal	3
	Upper Extremity	2
	Lower Extremity	3

At the time of this report, one participant had been consented but had not yet completed assessment materials, so the total number of participants reviewed above is 52.

Demographics have been periodically analyzed after randomization to ensure equal distribution of participants across the two study groups. The following is the most recent analysis of the 41 participants who have been randomized and treated in this study:

Demographic	Levels	FORT	TAU	Significance
0000		(% in grp)	(% in grp)	Level *
	Army	3 (15%)	9 (43%)	NS
Branch of	Air Force	17 (85%)	11 (52%)	
Service	Navy	0 (0%)	1 (5%)	
	Male	13 (65%)	12 (57%)	NS
Gender	Female	7 (35%)	9 (43%)	
	Asian	1 (5%)	1 (5%)	NS
Race	African American	2 (10%)	5 (23%)	
	Caucasian, Non-Hispanic	14 (70%)	14 (67%)	
	Hispanic	2 (10%)	1 (5%)	
	Other	1 (5%)	0 (0%)	
	Enlisted	18 (90%)	17 (81%)	NS
Rank	Officer	2 (10%)	4 (19%)	
	Lumbar	14 (70%)	15 (71%)	NS
Site of Pain	Thoracic	1 (5%)	1 (5%)	
	Cervical	2 (10%)	1 (5%)	
	Multiple Spinal	1 (5%)	2 (10%)	
	Upper Extremity	2 (10%)	0 (0%)	
	Lower Extremity	0 (0%)	2 (0%)	

^{*} NS = no significant differences among variables based on Chi-square analyses

Problems and Set-backs: We had originally hoped to complete all of our initial recruitment, treatment, and assessment by the end of the third year as stated in our proposal. It should be noted that, because of the Iraqi war during the first part of 2003 and continuing to the present, there was a major deployment of personnel from Wilford Hall Medical Center. This interfered somewhat with the early implementation of all aspects of initial activities of YEAR 01, and continuing deployments also impacted some aspects of YEARS 02 and 03. Some potential participants found it difficult to leave their duty stations long enough to participate in a study of this magnitude, making it somewhat difficult to meet our recruitment goals as quickly as we hoped. However, we have recruited tirelessly through a variety of mechanisms with success, and we are totally confident that our final recruitment goal of 90 participants will ultimately be met.

KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- Development of a comprehensive musculoskeletal pain database tapping over 100 variables
- Development and implementation of participant recruitment protocol
- Development and implementation of interdisciplinary chronic musculoskeletal pain treatment program at Wilford Hall Medical Center
- Development and implementation of treatment quality assurance protocol
- Development and implementation of data quality assurance protocol
- Development and training of comprehensive research team employing a Physical Therapist, Registered Nurse, and Clinical Psychologist
- Recruitment of 53 participants as of 21 January 2006
- At the time of this report, 4 participants have completed 1-year follow-up measures and 10 have completed 6-month follow-up measures
- Data gathering is ongoing, so there have been no publications of note to date. However, the FORT program has been covered in news stories through the Wilford Hall Medical Center newsletter (Vital Signs), The Air Force and Army Times, and the Armed Forces Television Network

REPORTABLE OUTCOMES:

In line with our Statement of Work, we have periodically examined our study data to determine the efficacy of the FORT treatment compared to the Treatment-As-Usual group. A summary of our outcomes is presented in the table below. Because our database allows us to examine over 200 variables, we have included just a handful of relevant outcomes for the purposes of this progress report. When examining the table below, please keep in mind the assessment intervals utilized for this project:

- **Pre-Anesthesiology**: assessment completed immediately after randomization and before all participants are followed through 4 weeks of just Anesthesiology pain care treatment (this is a *pre-treatment* interval)
- **Pre-FORT**: assessment completed after the 4-week Anesthesiology follow-up, right before the FORT participants begin participation in the FORT program (this is also a *pre-treatment* interval)
- Post-FORT: assessment completed after the 3-week FORT interval (this is a post-treatment interval)

Also, in preparation for data review, a list of the included measures is listed below with explanations of the domains assessed:

- Pain VAS: visual analog pain scale rating, ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (extreme pain)
- MVAS: a measure of self-reported physical disability. Score ranges include 0 (no disability), 1-40 (Mild disability), 41-70 (Moderate disability), 71-100 (Severe disability), 101-130 (Very Severe disability), 131-150 (Extreme disability)
- **BDI-2**: a measure of depressive symptomatology. Score ranges include 0-13 (Minimal depression), 14-19 (Mild depression), 20-28 (Moderate depression), 30+ (Severe depression)
- Lift-FW: floor-to-waist lifting capacity in pounds
- Lift-WE: waist-to-eye-level lifting capacity in pounds
- **SF-36 PCS**: a measure of health-related quality of life. The Physical Composite Score measures the impact of one's physical health on his or her life. The measure mean is 50, with a standard deviation of 10. Lower scores indicate worse quality of life.
- SF-36 MCS: same as above, but the Mental Composite Scale measures the impact of one's psychosocial functioning in his or her life.

<u>Pre-Anesthesiology Measures</u>: Summary of physical and psychosocial variables measured at the initial baseline assessment immediately after randomization.

Variable	Mean (SD)		Between Groups
	FORT	TAU	Significance*
Pain VAS	5.9 (2.1)	6.3 (1.9)	NS
MVAS	72.8 (25.7)	81.0 (22.3)	NS
BDI	11.0 (7.7)	14.0 (8.8)	NS
Lift-FW	57.3 (22.9)	51.3 (21.3)	NS
Lift-WE	42.3 (19.2)	37.9 (15.7)	NS
SF-36 PCS	34.6 (10.9)	33.6 (7.7)	NS
SF-36 MCS	53.3 (8.4)	51.1 (10.6)	NS

^{*} NS = no significant differences between groups based on independent samples t-tests

<u>Pre-FORT Measures</u>: Summary of physical and psychosocial variables measured immediately before the 3-week intervention (FORT) interval.

Variable	Mean	Between Groups	
	FORT	TAU	Significance*
Pain VAS	6.0 (2.1)	5.7 (2.7)	NS
MVAS	74.8 (18.4)	78.2 (23.3)	NS
BDI	10.4 (6.1)	12.3 (11.8)	NS
Lift-FW	58.8 (24.1)	51.7 (27.1)	NS
Lift-WE	47.7 (19.0)	44.5 (18.8)	NS
SF-36 PCS	33.4 (8.5)	37.8 (7.5)	NS
SF-36 MCS	53.0 (6.9)	48.4 (13.5)	NS

^{*} NS = no significant differences between groups based on independent samples t-tests

<u>Post-FORT Measures</u>: Summary of physical and psychosocial variables measured immediately after the 3-week intervention interval.

Variable	Mean	Between Groups	
	FORT	TAU	Significance
Pain VAS	3.7 (2.2)	6.0 (2.4)	.010*
MVAS	50.7 (20.5)	83.1 (22.8)	<.001*
BDI	7.1 (4.1)	11.4 (9.5)	.110
Lift-FW	79.8 (25.3)	51.8 (16.7)	.001*
Lift-WE	64.1 (21.2)	41.5 (12.5)	.001*
SF-36 PCS	43.4 (8.4)	37.3 (8.3)	.054
SF-36 MCS	52.6 (6.8)	50.1 (8.2)	.370

^{*} difference is statistically significant based on independent samples t-tests

<u>Within-Groups Comparisons at Pre- and Post-Treatment</u>: Summary of the extent of change in the physical and psychosocial variables within each group (FORT and TAU), between the initial and pre-intervention interval, and the pre- and post-intervention interval.

Variable	Within Groups Significance			
	Pre-Anesth → Pre-FORT	Pre-FORT → Post-FORT		
Pain VAS				
FORT	.731	.004*		
TAU	.293	.013 [†]		
MVAS				
FORT	.720	<.001*		
TAU	.193	.387		
BDI				
FORT	.663	.055		
TAU	.575	.387		
Lift-FW				
FORT	.539	<.001*		
TAU	.875	.882		
Lift-WE				
FORT	.016*	<.001 ^{††}		
TAU	.502	.502		
SF-36 PCS				
FORT	.477	<.001*		
TAU	.042*	.636		
SF-36 MCS				
FORT	.868	.731		
TAU	.386	.405		

^{*} difference is statistically significant based on paired samples t-tests

CONCLUSION:

Data analysis to date shows a variety of desirable outcomes. Pre-treatment between-groups analysis revealed that the groups did not initially differ significantly on any of the variables assessed, suggesting that randomization has been successful in developing two similar groups for comparison. Furthermore, examination of change in pre-treatment scores (from pre-Anesthesiology to pre-FORT) revealed few changes in the outcomes assessed within the groups, suggesting that the groups were both relatively stable during the Anesthesiology interval. This was expected because the majority of the participants seen in the study so far were already being followed for Anesthesiology pain care treatment before enrollment. The FORT group showed a significant increase in lifting capacity (from waist to eye-level) between the pre-intervention assessment intervals, and the treatment-as-usual group evidenced a significant increase in physical health-related quality of life. It is not yet clear why this occurred, but evaluation of the pre- to post-intervention within-groups changes in these scores showed that the FORT intervention resulted in significant lifting capacity increases beyond the gains made during the

[†] group's pain was significantly worse after the FORT interval

^{††} Post-FORT lifting capacity was significantly greater from both pre-FORT and pre-Anesthesiology at p<.001

pre-intervention interval, while the treatment-as-usual group showed no continued benefit for physical health-related quality of life during the intervention interval. These results indicated that, although there may have been some benefit in these domains from ongoing Anesthesiology pain care, the introduction of the interdisciplinary treatment yielded significant increases beyond those already experienced. Finally, a review of the pre- to post-treatment score changes between and within the two groups revealed significant beneficial changes in almost all domains for the FORT group compared to few beneficial changes for the TAU group. Based on these results, we can begin to conclude that the FORT intervention is of significant benefit for those who are treated, although we do not yet have enough long-term follow-up data to determine whether or not these gains can be maintained. These data support the hypothesis that an interdisciplinary functional restoration treatment program can be successfully applied in a military environment. We look forward to determining if this program can further contribute to military quality of life by helping our service members stay on active duty after developing a chronic musculoskeletal condition when they may have been otherwise medically retired.

REFERENCES

No new references included in this report.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: Summary of Outcome Measures in our Database

APPENDIX B: Most Recently Approved Informed Consent Document

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF OUTCOME MEASURES IN OUR DATABASE

ICPRP Data Management System

Variable Coding Sheet

** Note: Any missing data (not asked, skipped by pt, unavailable, ambiguous, more than one non-numerical answer circled, etc..) = N/A

1	Last Name		
2	First Name		
3	FMP/SSN	3a / 3b	
4	Group	3b. Patient Group: ICPRP = 1 Control = 2	CODE:
5	Follow-up Projected	Projected Follow-up date for PR 18MO ///// MM DD YY	
6	Follow-up Actual	Follow-up date for PRE-I / POS' MM DD YY	T-I / 6MO / 12MO / 18MO
7	Date of First Appointments	4a. Date First Seen By Anesth MM DD YY	4b. Date Finished Anesth Tx //// MM DD YY
8	Date of Injury – LOD	5a. Date pain began MM DD YY	5b. Date Of ICPRP Intake /
9	Age in years	Date of Birth: MM DD YY	6b Duration of Symptoms in months for the chief complaint N/A=-9
10	Service of Patient (or sponsor)	US Army = 1 US Air Force =2 US Navy = 3	US Marine =4 US Coast Guard =5 N/A=-9 CODE:
12	Patient's beneficiary classification:	List of Values: Active Duty Dependent of Active Duty Guard/Reserve3 Dependent of Guard/Reserve Retiree5	4

13	Gender	Dependent of Retiree
		1 Female2 N/A9
14	Race Ethnic Code: Definition: The code which represents a non scientific division of the population based on assumed primordial biological properties combined with a segment population that possesses common characteristics and/or cultural heritage.	List of Values: American Indian or Alaskan Native
15	Marital Status Code: Definition: The code that	List of Values: Single, not married

	represents the marital status of the patient.	2 Divorced3				
		Legally Separated. .4 Widowed.				
16	Years Married	N/A = -9				
17	Kids	Yes = 1 NO = 2 N/A = -9 If Yes, Number:				
18	Rank of patient (or rank of spouse if pt not AD)	E-1 = 01				
19	Years of Service	N/A = -9				
20	Clearance Status (check all that apply)	PRP SCI Clearance Flying Status Weapons Bearing Top Secret				
21	Years of Education	Number of years of education: $N/A = -9$				
22	Highest Degree Received	No degree = 01 G.E.D. = 02 High School = 03 High School + Some College/Tech School = 04 Associates = 05 Bachelors = 06 Graduate = 07 N/A = -9				
23	Referral Source (clinic)	Pain = 01 Neurology = 06 Hemat/Onc=11 Sleep = 02 Neuropsych = 07 Cardiology=12 Dental = 03 Ment Health = 08 Rheum = 13				

		Prim Care=04 Internal Med = 09 Other =14 Pulmonary=05 Orthopedics = 10 N/A = -9	CODE:
24	Other clinic	IF Above is OTHER, specify clinic:	
25	Current Injury	Current pain due to injury where? Lumbar = 01	
26	Patient Described	How patient describes site of injury:	
27	Previous Injury	Previous injury/pain resulting in inability to work YES = 01 NO = 02 N/A = -9 If YES, where? Lumbar = 01 Multiple Spinal = 05 Thoracic = 02 Upper Extremity = 06 Cervical = 03 Lower Extremity = 07 Other = 08	code:
28	New Injury	Sustained new injury/pain resulting in inability to YES = 01 NO = 02 N/A = -9 If YES, new injury to same site? YES = 01 NO = 02 N/A = -9 If NOT SAME SITE – Site of new injury: Lumbar = 01 Multiple Spinal = 05 Thoracic = 02 Upper Extremity = 06 Cervical = 03 Lower Extremity = 07 Other = 08	to work? CODE:
29	Patient Described Previous Inj	How patient describes site of previous injury:	
30	Drug Allergies	Are you allergic to any medications or food? YES = 01 NO = 02 N/A = -9	CODE:
31	Health Care Visits	Total # of healthcare visits since pain began: Total # of healthcare visits due to current injury.	/pain:
32	Type – Health Care Visits	Type of Visit(s)related to your pain: 00 None 06 Psychologist	

		01 Medical Doctor 07 Licensed 02 Orthopedist Professional Counselor 03 Physical Therapist 08 Massage Therapist 04 Chiropractor 09 Acupuncturist 05 Psychiatrist 10 Other Specialist CODE-1:
		CODE-2:
		CODE-3:
33	Hospitalizatio n	Were you hospitalized since pain began? YES = 01 NO = 02 N/A = -9 CODE:
34	Hospitalizatio n#	If YES, how many times hospitalized? # = # days in hospital =
35	Pain Hospitalizatio n#	How many times hospitalized due to current injury/pain? # = # days in hospital =
36	Previous Passive Treatments?	Undergone any previous surgical/medical procedures for your pain since pain began? YES = 01 NO = 02 N/A = -9 If YES, how many procedures?
37	Procedure 1	If 16-6 is YES, which procedure(s)? 01 = fusion 02 = morphine pump 03 = spinal cord stimulator 04 = injection(s) 05 = TENS unit 06 = Other
20	Donal 2	
38	Procedure 2	If 16-6 is YES, which procedure(s)? 01 = fusion 02 = morphine pump 03 = spinal cord stimulator 04 = injection(s) 05 = TENS unit 06 = Other -9 = N/A CODE:
39	Procedure 3	If 16-6 is YES, which procedure(s)? 01 = fusion 02 = morphine pump

		03 = spinal cord stimulator 04 = injection(s) 05 = TENS unit 06 = Other -9 = N/A CODE:
40	Other Health Problems	Any other problems with your health not indicated above? YES = 01 NO = 02 N/A = -9 CODE:
41	Sleep	17a. Average self-reported hours of sleep a night
42	Sleep Efficiency	17.2 (Time Spent Asleep) (Time Spent in Bed) * 100 =%
43	Sexuality	Satisfaction from 0-10 with $10 = \text{very satisfied}$: $N/A = -9$ Code 11 if the marked "I prefer not to answer."
44	Alcohol Use	19a. Trouble with alcohol in the past? No=2 N/A =

		19b. Current Use: Yes =1 No =2 N/A = -9 If Yes: 19c. Average number of drinks per week: 19d. Have you ever felt you should cut down on your drinking? Yes=1 No=2 19e. Have people annoyed you by criticizing your drinking? Yes=1 No=2 19f. Have you ever felt bad or guilty about your drinking? Yes=1 No=2 19g. Have you ever had a drink first thing in the morning to steady your nerves or get rid of a hangover (e.g. eye opener)? Yes=1 No=2 19h. CAGE score (0-4)
45	Current (past 30 days) Tobacco Use Status	20a. Not current tobacco user = 01 Prior tobacco user = 02 Current tobacco user (any daily use) = 03 N/A = -9 20b. If yes to current tobacco use: Type of tobacco Cigarettes = 01 Pin (Giren 02)
		Pipe/Cigar = 02 Smokeless = 03 20c. Duration of Tobacco Use in Years:
46	Current Caffeine Use	21a. Yes =01 No =02 N/A = -9 21b. If Yes: Average number of drinks per week:
47	BMI	22-1a. Height (inches) 22-1b. Weight (pounds)
48	Diet	22-2. Currently on a diet trying to lose wt? Yes = 01 No = 02 N/A = -9
49	Diet – 2	Do you eat too much/too little? YES = 1 NO = 2 N/A = -9
50	Exercise on Regular Basis	Yes = 1 $No = 2$ $N/A = -9$
51	History of	

52	Mental Health Treatment (any tx the pt indicated as MH including Chaplain, etc) History of Physical, Sexual, or	Yes = 1 No = 2 N/A = -9 Yes = 1 No = 2 N/A = -9
	Emotional abuse	
53	Satisfaction with Social Support from Family & Friends	Very Unsatisfied 1 Unsatisfied 2 Satisfied 3 Very Satisfied 4 N/A 9
54	Hours Worked	How many hours a week, on average, do you work?
55	Job History	26a. Disability/Workers Comp: Yes = 1 No = 2 N/A = -9 26b. Work Status: Full-time outside the home. 1 Full-time in the home. 2 Part-time. 3 Retired. 4 N/A. 9 26c. Job Title: What is your current job title?

Г		26c. If Working, Satisfaction with Current Occupation:
1		Very
		Unsatisfied1
1		Unsatisfied
		2
		Satisfied
		3
1		Very
		Satisfied
		4
		N/A
		9
-		
56	Return to	Present Vocational Status:
	Work	
		01 RTW, Full Time, Same Job Type
		02 RTW, Full Time, New Job Type
1		03 RTW, Light/Part Duty, Same Job Type
		04 RTW, Light/Part Duty, New Job Type
1		05 RTW, But Not Pres Worki BC of New Injury
		06 RTW, But Not Pres Work BC Original Injury
		07 Self-Employed
		08 Vocational Training or School/Retraining
1		09 Never Returned to Work Because of Injury
		10 Denies Work BC of Employment Factors Exc
		11 Denies Work, But Engag in Incom Prod Act
		12 Denies Work,Participates Non-Income Prod
		Activities
		13 Was Not Working Before Injury
		400 100
57	RTW Date	Date pt returned to work:
		/ /
		MM DD YY
58	Quality of	Satisfaction with Quality of Life:
36	Life	Very
	Life	
		Unsatisfied1
		Unsatisfied
		2
		Satisfied
		3
		Very
		Satisfied
		4
		N/A
		9
59	Spirituality	28a. Importance from 0-10 with 10 = very important:
33	Spirituality	20a. Importance from 0-10 with 10 – very important.

	T	N/A=-9				
		N/A9				
		28b. Current difficulties affecting spirituality: Yes = 1 No= 2				
60	Legal Issues	Current litigation pending concerning pt's condition: Yes = 1 No= 2 N/A=-9				
61	Disciplinary Action	Any history of disciplinary action (e.g., LOC, LOR, LOA)? YES = 01 NO = 02 N/A = -9				
62	Goals	Top Three Goals from Goal sheet (1-51) 1: 2: 3: N/A=-9				
63	PrimaryAxis I Diagnosis	296.2 MD, sing ep = 01 316 Psych fac/Med Cond= 06 296.3 MD, recurrent = 02 V71.09 No diagnosis = 07 307.xx Pain Disorder = 03 799.9 Deferred = 08 307.42 Prim Insomnia = 04 Other Diagnosis = 09 309.xx Adjustment DO= 05 PTSD = 10 GAD = 11 Panic Dis = 12 N/A=-9 CODE:				
64	Other diagnosis	IF above is OTHER, specify diagnosis:				
65	Secondary Axis I Diagnosis if appropritate	296.2 MD, sing ep = 01 316 Psych fac/Med Cond= 06 296.3 MD, recurrent = 02 V71.09 No diagnosis = 07 307.xx Pain Disorder = 03 799.9 Deferred = 08 307.42 Prim Insomnia = 04 Other Diagnosis = 09 309.xx Adjustment DO= 05 N/A=-9 CODE:				
66	Other diagnosis	IF above is OTHER, specify diagnosis:				
67	Primary Axis III (Choose ONE most directly related to referral)	Headache=01 Fibromyalgia = 08 Myofac. Pain = 15 RSD/CRPS=02 HTN= 09 Other = 16 IBS = 03 Other chron pain=10 N/A=-9 TMD = 04 Cardiac = 11 COPD = 05 Cancer = 12 Arthritis = 06 Obesity = 13 Chron Back= 07 Insomnia = 14				
68	Other Axis III	IF above is OTHER, specify diagnosis:				

69	Secondary Axis III	Headache=01 RSD/CRPS=02 IBS = 03 TMD = 04 COPD = 05 Arthritis = 06 Chron Back= 07	Obesity = 13	0 11111
70	Other Axis III	IF above is OTHI	ER, specify diagnosis:	
71	Site Treated	WHMC = 01 BAMC = 02		CODE:

JOB REQUIREMENTS EVALUATION

		JOB REQUIREMENTS EVALUAT	ION
72	Standing	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	
		NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02 CONSTANT = 04	FREQUENT = 03 CODE:
73	Walking	Indicate the amount of time spent at you	
13	vv aiking	NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	
		CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
74	Sitting	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	our job doing this activity:
		NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	
		CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
75	Squatting	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	
		NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	l	CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
76	Kneeling	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	
		NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	
77	Ctanina/Dandina	CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
77	Stooping/Bending	Indicate the amount of time spent at you NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	
		CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
78	Crawling	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	X-X (WC) (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10
70	Crawing	NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	
		CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
79	Driving	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	
		NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	
		CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
80	Repetitive	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	
	Handwork	NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	
		CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
81	Reaching	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	
		NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	
0.2	1.0.	CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
82	Lifting	Indicate the amount of time spent at you	
		NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02 CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
83	Carrying	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	
03	Carrying	NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	
		CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
84	Pushing/Pulling	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	
	1 doming I dining	NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	
		CONSTANT = 04	CODE:
85	Climbing	Indicate the amount of time spent at ye	
		NONE = 01 OCCASIONAL = 02	FREQUENT = 03

PSYCHOSOCIAL TEST DATA

Reminder: Any missing data (unavailable, ambiguous, more than answer circled, etc..) = N/A

86	BDI – Front			10 2	MPIPS	
87	BDI - Back			10	MPII	
				3		
88	BDI - Total		(28)	10	MPILC	
				4		
89	BDI – Item 9			10	MPIAD	
				5		·-
90	SF36 – PF			10	MPIS	
				6		
91	SF36 – RP			10	MPIPR	
		***************************************		7		
92	SF36 – BP			10	MPISR	
				8		
93	SF36 – GH			10	MPIDR	
				9		
94	SF36 – VT			11	MPIHC	
				0		
95	SF36 – SF			11	MPIOW	
				1	40	
96	SF36 – RE			11	MPIAAH	
				2		
97	SF36 – MH			11	MPISA	
				3		
98	SF36 – PCS			11	MPIGA	
		9		4		·
99	SF36 – MCS			11	MPI Profile	
				5		Dysfunctional

10	SF36 – PCS				1
0	%				Interpers/Distr
					2
					Adaptive
					Cop3
					Anomolous
					4
					Hybrid
					5
					Unanalyzable
					6
10	SF36 – MCS		11	PCI	High:
1	%		6		Low:
					AVG:

Reminder: Any missing data (unavailable, ambiguous, more than answer circled, etc..) = N/A

11 7	SF36q1	 13	SF36q17	
11 8	SF36q2	 13 4	SF36q18	
11	SF36q3	 13 5	SF36q19	
12 0	SF36q4	 13 6	SF36q20	
12 1	SF36q5	 13 7	SF36q21	
12 2	SF36q6	13 8	SF36q22	
12 3	SF36q7	 13 9	SF36q23	
12 4	SF36q8	 14 0	SF36q24	
12 5	SF36q9	14	SF36q25	
12 6	SF36q10	14 2	SF36q26	

	T			
12 7	SF36q11	 14	SF36q27	
12 8	SF36q12	14 4	SF36q28	·
12 9	SF36q13	14 5	SF36q29	
13 0	SF36q14	 14 6	SF36q30	
13 1	SF36q15	 14 7	SF36q31	
13 2	SF36q16	 14 8	SF36q32	·

14 9	SF36q33			16 4	THQgc	·
15 0	SF36q34			16 5	FABQpa	
15 1	SF36q35			16 6	FABQw	
15 2	SF36q36			16 7		
15 3	MVAS			16 8	PainVAS	
15 4	MVAScat	0 = None (MVAS = 0) 1 = Mild (1-40)		16 9	POMStot	
		2 = Moderate (41-70) 3 = Severe (71-100) 4 = Very Severe (101-130) 5 = Extreme (131-150) -9 = no MVAS score		17 0	POMSanx	·
15 5	THQwp			17 1	POMSdep	
15 6	THQmed			17 2	POMSang	·
15 7	THQpsy			17 3	POMSvig	
15 8	THQpt		e 01	17 4	POMSfat	·
15 9	THQdr			17 5	POMScon	
16 0	THQip			17 6		

16 1	THQdiag	 17		·
16 2	THQwat	 17	ORQtot	·
16 3	THQpe	 17 9	ORQdep	·

18	ORQpi	
0	on o t	
18 1	ORQdwr	
18 2	ORQpwh	
18 3	ORQssw	
18 4	ORQwsl	
18 5	ORQwks	
18 6	ORQfss	
18 7	ORQppwr	
18 8	PCLM	
18 9	OSW	
19 0	ISI	
19 1	CEQ	

DSM-IV AXIS I DIAGNOSIS

		DSWI-IV AXIS I DIAGNOSIS
19	AxisId1	1 = Major Dep – Single Episode (296.2)
2		2 = Major Dep - Recurrent (296.3)
		3 = Pain Disorder (307.xx)
		4 = Primary Insomnia (307.42)
		5 = Adjustment Disorder (309.xx)
		6 = Psych Fac to Med Cond (316)
		7 = Gen Anx Dis (300.02)
		8 = PTSD (309.81)
		9 = Panic Disorder (300.2x)
		10 = Deferred (799.9)
		11 = No Diagnosis (V71.09)
		12 = Other Diagnosis
		-9 = N/A
19	AxisId2	1 = Major Dep – Single Episode (296.2)
3	AXISIUZ	
3		2 = Major Dep - Recurrent (296.3)
		3 = Pain Disorder (307.xx)
		4 = Primary Insomnia (307.42)
		5 = Adjustment Disorder (309.xx)
		6 = Psych Fac to Med Cond (316)
		7 = Gen Anx Dis (300.02)
		8 = PTSD (309.81)
		9 = Panic Disorder (300.2x)
		10 = Deferred (799.9)
		11 = No Diagnosis (V71.09)
		12 = Other Diagnosis
		-9 = N/A
19	AxisId3	1 = Major Dep – Single Episode (296.2)
4		2 = Major Dep - Recurrent (296.3)
		3 = Pain Disorder (307.xx)
		4 = Primary Insomnia (307.42)
		5 = Adjustment Disorder (309.xx)
		6 = Psych Fac to Med Cond (316)
		7 = Gen Anx Dis (300.02)
		8 = PTSD (309.81)
		9 = Panic Disorder (300.2x)
		10 = Deferred (799.9)
		11 = No Diagnosis (V71.09)
		12 = Other Diagnosis
		- 1 - CO 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2
		-9 = N/A

FCE DATA

		rc
Tflex		
Text		
PILEwt-waist		
PILEhr-waist		
PILEwt-		
shoulder		100
PILEhr-		BY
shoulder		
Aerovo2		
	20.000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Aerotime		
Aerohr		
100,000,000,000,000		
Aeroefft		
GripstrL		
1		
GripstrR		
DomHand	Circle one:	
	Left	
	Right	
	Text PILEwt-waist PILEhr-waist PILEhr-shoulder PILEhr-shoulder Aerovo2 Aerotime Aerohr Aeroefft GripstrL GripstrR	Text PILEwt-waist PILEhr-waist PILEhr-shoulder PILEhr-shoulder Aerovo2 Aerotime Aerohr Aeroefft GripstrL GripstrR DomHand Circle one: Left

Past Treatment Received

Reminder: Any missing data (unavailable, ambiguous, etc..) = N/A

	inder: Any missing data (unavailable, a	11/11
20 8	Individual	No
		Number of Sessions:
20 9	Biofeedback	No
21 0	Interdisciplinary Chronic Pain Management Program or Interdisciplinary Chronic Pain Rehabilitation Program Pain Group	No
		Number of Sessions:
21	4-session Pain Group or similar	No
2:	m m	Number of Sessions:
21 2	TMD Group	No
		Number of Sessions:
21	COPD (Pulmonary Rehab Group)	No
3		0 Yes1
3	LEARN	Yes

4		No
		Yes
		1
		Number of Sessions:
21	Behavioral Cardiac Rehab Program	
5	9.00	No
		0
		Yes
		1
		Number of Sessions:
21	Tobacco Cessation Program	Number of Sessions.
6	Tooleeo Cessation Frogram	No
		0
		Yes
		1
21		Number of Sessions:
21	Relaxation Group	No
/		No
		Yes
		1
		Number of Sessions:
21	Insomnia Group	
8		No
		0 Voc
		Yes
		Number of Sessions:
21	Previous Passive Treatments?	Undergone any previous surgical/medical
9	**************************************	procedures for your pain since pain
		began?
		YES = 01 $NO = 02$ $N/A = -9$
		ICALCO 1
		If YES, how many procedures?
22	Procedure 1	If 16-6 is YES, which procedure(s)?
0		01 = fusion
		02 = morphine pump
		03 = spinal cord stimulator
		04 = injection(s)

		05 = TENS unit 06 = Other
		-9 = N/A
		CODE:
22	Procedure 2	If 16-6 is YES, which procedure(s)?
1		01 = fusion
		02 = morphine pump
		03 = spinal cord stimulator
		04 = injection(s)
		05 = TENS unit
		06 = Other
		-9 = N/A
		CODE:
22	Procedure 3	If 16-6 is YES, which procedure(s)?
2		01 = fusion
		02 = morphine pump
	2	03 = spinal cord stimulator
		04 = injection(s)
		05 = TENS unit
		06 = Other
		-9 = N/A
		CODE:

Post-FORT Treatment(s) Received

Reminder: Any missing data (unavailable, ambiguous, etc..) = N/A

22	Individual	imoiguous, etc) – IV/A
3	maryiduai	No
3		0
		Yes
		1
		Intake Only
		2
		Number of Sessions:
22	Biofeedback	
4		No
		0
		Yes
		1
		Number of Sessions:
22	Intendical lineary Classic Daire	INUITION OF SESSIONS.
DOMESTICAL STATE	Interdisciplinary Chronic Pain	N
5	Management Program or	No
	Interdisciplinary Chronic Pain	0
	Rehabilitation Program	Yes
	Pain Group	
		Number of Sessions:
22	4-session Pain Group or similar	
6		No
I .		
	,	0
		0
		0 Yes1
		0 Yes
22	TMD Group	0 Yes 1 Number of Sessions:
22 7	TMD Group	Number of Sessions:
	TMD Group	0 Yes 1 Number of Sessions:
	TMD Group	Number of Sessions:
	TMD Group	0 Yes 1 Number of Sessions: No
	TMD Group	0 Yes Number of Sessions: No0 Yes
	TMD Group	0 Yes Number of Sessions: No0 Yes
	TMD Group COPD (Pulmonary Rehab Group)	0 Yes
7		0 Yes
7		0 Yes
7		0 Yes Number of Sessions: No0 Yes
7		0 Yes Number of Sessions: No0 Yes1 Number of Sessions:
7		0 Yes
7		0 Yes
7 22		0 Yes

9		No
		317/317.
		Yes
		1
		and the same of th
		Number of Sessions:
23	Behavioral Cardiac Rehab Program	
0		No
		0
		Yes
		1
		1
		Number of Sessions:
22	T 1 C 4' P	Number of Sessions.
23	Tobacco Cessation Program	27
1		No
		0
		Yes
		1
		Number of Sessions:
23	Relaxation Group	
2	1	No
		0
		Yes
		1
		Number of Sessions:
23	Ingomnia Crava	Number of Sessions.
3	Insomnia Group	N
3		No
		0
		Yes
		1
		Number of Sessions:
23	Passive Treatments?	Undergone any previous surgical/medical
4		procedures for your pain since completing
		the FORT program?
		YES = 01 NO = 02 N/A = -9
		If YES, how many procedures?
		ii i ibs, now many procedures:
23	Procedure 1	If 16 6 is VEC which proceed was (a)?
5	1 Toccdure 1	If 16-6 is YES, which procedure(s)?
)		01 = fusion
		02 = morphine pump
		03 = spinal cord stimulator
		04 = injection(s)

		05 = TENS unit
		06 = Other
		-9 = N/A
		CODE:
23	Procedure 2	If 16-6 is YES, which procedure(s)?
6		01 = fusion
		02 = morphine pump
- 1		03 = spinal cord stimulator
		04 = injection(s)
		05 = TENS unit
		06 = Other
		-9 = N/A
		CODE:
23	Procedure 3	If 16-6 is YES, which procedure(s)?
7		01 = fusion
		02 = morphine pump
		03 = spinal cord stimulator
		04 = injection(s)
		05 = TENS unit
		06 = Other
		-9 = N/A
		CODE:

APPENDIX B MOST RECENTLY APPROVED ICD

FWH20030036H

BROOKE ARMY MEDICAL CENTER/WILFORD HALL MEDICAL CENTER INFORMED CONSENT DOCUMENT (ICD Template Version 4. Feb 02)

A Randomized Trial of Musculoskeletal Pain Treatment in a Military Population

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR – Lt Col Alan L. Peterson

If you choose not to participate in this research study, your decision will not affect your eligibility for care or any other benefits to which you are entitled.

DESCRIPTION/PURPOSE OF RESEARCH

You are being asked to consider participation in this research study. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of two different treatments designed to decrease chronic pain, increase functioning, and retain military members on active duty.

This study is being conducted at Wilford Hall Medical Center in San Antonio, Texas and Brooke Army Medical Center, San Antonio, Texas. The study will enroll approximately 90 active duty military personnel with musculoskeletal pain over a period of 18 months. The overall duration of the study will be about 4 years, but the time requirement for individual participants will be about four weeks with follow-up evaluations occurring at 6 months, 12 months, and 18 months.

The two approaches to pain management that will be evaluated in this study are as follows:

Group A, Standard Anesthesia Pain Clinic Medical Care: Participants in this group will be thoroughly evaluated by physicians trained in medical pain management techniques. Appropriate medical recommendations will be made and may include any of the following: pain medications, antidepressant medications, and nerve block and steroid injections. This treatment will include about 6 patient visits over a three-week period.

Group B, Standard Anesthesia Pain Clinic Medical Care AND Interdisciplinary Chronic Pain Rehabilitation Program: This group will receive all of the treatment as described in Group A above, as well as an interdisciplinary functional restoration treatment program, which consists of three major components. Each participant will be evaluated and treated by physical therapy, occupational therapy, and clinical health psychology in coordination with a supervising nurse-physician team. This group will include 3 weeks of full-time treatment including supervised physical exercise and learning pain management skills.

RANDOMIZATION OF STUDY PARTICIPANTS: As a participant, you will be randomly assigned to one of these two groups. Randomization is a process much like flipping a coin and means you will have the same chance of being assigned to either of these two groups.

PROCEDURES: As a participant, you will undergo the following procedures:

Meeting One: The first meeting with Clinical Health Psychology service will involve a full assessment of your pain condition. You will then receive an overview of the study, complete the informed consent document, and be asked to complete several questionnaires about your functioning in many areas (estimated time 1 1/2 hours).

During the first session you will also be randomly assigned to one of the two groups. If you are assigned to Group A or B, you will be treated at the Anesthesia Pain Clinic at Wilford Hall or Brooke Army Medical Center as directed by your physicians. Should it be necessary for you to have a standard anesthesia pain clinic treatment requiring additional informed consent, a separate consent form will be completed at the time of the procedure. If you are selected for Group B, you will also be scheduled for inclusion in the Interdisciplinary Chronic Pain Rehabilitation Program. This three-week program will be offered at Wilford Hall Medical Center once each month.

<u>Phone Contacts and Mailings</u>: Participants in both Groups A and B will be contacted for follow-up information 3 weeks after the initiation of treatment and then at the 6 month, 12 month and 18 month point. Each of these follow-up contacts will involve gathering the same information on functioning as previously assessed. I understand that if I am no longer on active duty in the U.S. military at the time of one of my follow-up assessments, I will be contacted at my civilian address to request completion of the outcome questionnaires.

Should it be necessary for you to have a procedure requiring additional informed consent, a separate consent form will be completed at the time of the procedure.

RISKS OR DISCOMFORTS:

There is minimal psychological and/or physical risk from the early interventions to be used in this study. In past research, none of the subjects had any problems. You could experience stress from participating in this kind of research. Knowing that researchers have personal information about you may trouble you. There is a possibility that your low back pain may worsen if you are assigned to the early intervention; however, this is not anticipated.

For those in Group A and B, the risks and discomforts of participating are the same as those that would be expected when under the care of the Anesthesia Pain Clinic for any other patient. An additional informed consent for a standard anesthesia pain clinic treatment may be obtained at the time of treatment. These treatments include the use of medications and injections, and the potential adverse effects include infection, bleeding, nerve damage, allergic reactions and either no change or a worsening of your pain.

For those in Group B, there are some risks, which involve engaging in a functional restoration program although these are expected to be minimized since you will be following the recommendations of an interdisciplinary staff of healthcare providers (e.g., physician, nurse, psychologist, physical therapist, and occupational therapist). It is also possible that your pain could become somewhat worse during the course of treatment. There may also be unforeseen risks associated with this study. A previously unknown problem could result from your participation in this research. It is not possible to estimate the chances of such problems or how serious problems could be. Consequently, we ask that you inform the study doctor or any of the

Investigators listed on this form of any problems that arise during this study, and also inform your physician. Finally, if you should ever report current or recent thoughts, plan or intent to harm or kill yourself or evidence of self-harm is ever indicated during the course of your participation in this study, your commander will be notified and appropriate action will be taken to help ensure your safety, including assessment of risk by a credentialed Mental Health Provider and referral to an appropriate level of care (e.g., outpatient follow-up or inpatient hospitalization).

BENEFITS:

While there is no guarantee you will benefit from participating in this study, it is intended to reduce your pain, increase your functioning, and retain your active duty status. The treatments are believed to be beneficial, and how well they work is the focus on this study. The investigators have designed this study to learn if there is a difference and how they can better treat active duty members who often times are concerned about their ability to remain in the military until they decide to retire. There will also be a scientific benefit if this study can tell us which treatment for musculoskeletal pain is better.

PAYMENT (COMPENSATION):

You will not receive any compensation (payment) for participating in this study.

ALTERNATIVES TO PARTICIPATION: Alternatives may be available to you, including other pain management programs or individual consultations with Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Mental Health, or Clinical Health Psychology available through your medical treatment facility. Other alternatives would be to seek follow-up care with your primary care manager or to participate in treatment at the Anesthesia Pain Clinic but to decline participation in the data collection or to decline any treatment at all.

CONFIDENTIALITY OF RECORDS OF STUDY PARTICIPATION:

Records of your participation in this study may only be disclosed in accordance with federal law, including the Federal Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a, and its implementing regulations. DD Form 2005, Privacy Act Statement-Military Health Records, contains the Privacy Act Statement for the records. By signing this consent document, you give your permission for information gained from your participation in this study to be published in medical literature, discussed for educational purposes, and used generally to further medical science. You will not be personally identified; all information will be presented as anonymous data.

Your records may be reviewed by the U.S. Food & Drug Administration (FDA), other government agencies, the BAMC/WHMC Institutional Review Boards, and by research staff. Further, representatives of the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command are eligible to review research records as a part of their responsibility to protect human subjects in research. Complete confidentiality cannot be promised, particularly for military personnel, because information regarding your health may be required to be reported to appropriate medical or command authorities.

ENTITLEMENT TO CARE:

In the event of injury resulting from this study, the extent of medical care provided is limited and will be within the scope authorized for Department of Defense (DoD) health care beneficiaries.

Your entitlement to medical and dental care and/or compensation in the event of injury is governed by federal laws and regulations, and if you have questions about your rights as a research subject or if you believe you have received a research-related injury, you may contact the Wilford Hall Clinical Research Squadron Commander, (210) 292-7069 or Wilford Hall Medical Center Risk Manager, 210-292-6004.

Brooke Army Medical Center Protocol Coordinators, 210-916-2598 or BAMC Judge Advocate, 210-916-2031.

Preparation in this study does not alter your ongoing medical benefits as a military beneficiary, and you will continue to receive any needed medical treatment should you experience illness or injury as a result of this study. In the event of injury resulting from the investigational procedures, the extent of medical care provided is limited and will be within the scope authorized for DoD health care beneficiaries.

BLOOD & TISSUE SAMPLES: "No blood or tissue samples will be taken as part of this study."

STATEMENT OF GOOD FAITH: The investigator cannot guarantee or promise that you will receive benefits from this study; however, the investigator will keep you informed of any serious complications, which may result from your participation in this study.

VOLUNTARY PARTICIPATION:

The decision to participate in this study is completely voluntary on your part. No one has coerced or intimidated you into participating in this project. You are participating because you want to. Lt Col (Dr) Alan Peterson, (Wilford Hall Medical Center, DSN 554-5968, Commercial (210) 292-5968), Dr. Robert Gatchel, (University of Texas Southwest Medical Center, Dallas and the University of Texas at Arlington, (817) 272-1207), or one of their associates has adequately answered any and all questions you have about this study, your participation, and the procedures involved. Dr. Peterson, Dr. Gatchel, or a member of the Clinical Health Psychology staff at Wilford Hall Medical Center ((210) 292-5968) will be available to answer any questions concerning procedures throughout this study. If significant new findings develop during the course of this study that may relate to your decision to continue participation, you will be informed.

You may withdraw this consent at any time and discontinue further participation in this study without affecting your eligibility for care or any other benefits to which you are entitled. Should you choose to

withdraw, you must inform one of the investigators. Your condition will continue to be treated in accordance with acceptable standards of medical treatment.

The investigator of this study may terminate your participation in this study at any time if he/she feels this to be in your best interest. Your consent to participate in this study is given on a voluntary basis. All oral and written information and discussions about this study have been in English, a language in which you are fluent.

CONTACT INFORMATION:

Principal Investigator (PI)

The principal investigator or a member of Clinical Health Psychology staff will be available to answer any questions concerning procedures throughout this study.

Principal Investigator: Lt Col Alan L. Peterson

Phone: (210) 292-5968

Institutional Review Board (IRB)

The WHMC Institutional Review Board (IRB), the hospital committee responsible for safeguarding your rights as a research subject, has assigned a member of the IRB, who is not part of the study team, to serve as an outside monitor for this study (this person is the Medical Monitor). If you have any questions about your rights as a research subject or any other concerns that cannot be addressed by the PI, you can contact the medical monitor, Joseph Schmelz, PhD, RN at (210) 292-5687. Or mail to: 59th Clinical Research Squadron/MSRP, 1255 Wilford Hall Loop, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas 78236.

In addition, if you have any comments, questions, concerns or complaints, you may also contact the Chairperson of the IRB, at (210) 292-7558. Or mail to: 59th Medical Wing/CM, 2200 Bergquist Drive, Lackland Air Force Base, Texas 78236.

A copy of this form has been given to you.

VOLUNTEER'S	SIGNATURE	VOLUNTEER'S	SSSN	DATE	
VOLUNTEER'S	PRINTED NAM	E FMP	SPONSOR	R'S SSN	DOB
VOLUNTEER'S	ADDRESS (stree	et, city, state, zip)			-
	ESTIGATOR'S S	SIGNATURE or whose name is l	DATE isted in the pro		NUMBER)
PRINTED NAM	E OF ADVISING	G INVESTIGATO	R		
WITNESS' SIGN	NATURE	-	DATE		

(Must witness ALL signatures)
PRINTED NAME OF WITNESS
PRINTED NAME OF WITNESS
Subject's Stamp Plate PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 APPLIES.
DD FORM 2005 FILED IN MILITARY HEALTH RECORDS

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (MCMR-RMI-S) 504 Scott Street Fort Detrick, MD 21702-5012

RE: DAMD17-03-1-0055

Dear Research Command:

In accordance with your letter of December 18, 2003, we are enclosing the original and two copies of the first Annual Report for the referenced award.

As requested, the PI's current contact information is on the letterhead.

If we may be of further assistance to you, please advise.

Sincerely yours,

Robert J. Gatchel, Ph.D. Principal Investigator

Perrie M. Adams, Ph.D. Associate Dean for Research

RJG:cag